

APPENDIX A

Motion 5001 Cllr. Cieran Perry

Material Alteration Reference Number 2.4

Given that the Phibsboro LAP which failed to be adopted provides over 90% of the content for a new LAP, a new Phibsboro LAP will be prioritised on adoption of the Development Plan
The motion was NOTED

Motion 5002 Lord Mayor Brendan Carr

Material Alteration Reference Number 2.4

That this Council amends the draft plan by the following addition "The Phibsborough LAP which is a revised LAP and already completed should be delivered without delay".

Reason:

The Phibsborough LAP was first drawn up and agreed in 2008. However, its main provisions were never implemented due to the recession. Under statutory requirements it has to be reviewed after six years. Due to misunderstandings in 2015 the Councillors failed to adopt the revised LAP. It is different from the other LAPs as it can be dealt with expeditiously and without the need for significant resources as the work has already been done on its preparation and agreement could be reached quite easily.

The motion was NOTED

Motion 5003 Green Party Group

Material Alteration Reference Number 3.3

To retain the phrase "33% reduction by 2020"

Reason: To reflect the wording on page 12 of Dublin City's Climate Change Strategy

The motion was NOT AGREED

Motion 5004 Green Party Group

Material Alteration Reference Number 3.9

"All new buildings will be required to meet the passive house standard or equivalent, where reasonably practicable.

By equivalent we mean approaches supported by robust evidence (such as monitoring studies) to demonstrate their efficacy, with particular regard to indoor air quality, energy performance, comfort, and the prevention of surface/interstitial condensation. Buildings specifically exempted from BER ratings as set out in S.I. No. 666 of 2006 are also exempted from the requirements of Policy CCO11.

These requirements are in addition to the statutory requirement to comply fully with Parts A-M of Building Regulations.

Reason: to alleviate fuel poverty and reach carbon reduction targets

Motions 5004, 5005, 5006 & 5096 were all AGREED as amended:

"To support and seek that the review of the National Building Regulations be expedited with a view to ensuring that they meet or exceed the passive house standard or equivalent, with particular regard to energy performance and other sustainability considerations, to alleviate fuel poverty and reduce carbon reduction targets."

Motion 5005 Cllr Dermot Lacey

Material Alteration Reference Number 3.9

This Council requests the Manager to, in advance of the conclusion of the meetings (and with sufficient time to review such reports) to deal with the Development Plan, to present a detailed report on how the objectives of the Council in relation to the Eastern by Pass and Passive Housing can be adequately addressed in the final Plan.

The motion was AGREED AS AMENDED (see 5004)

In addition it was AGREED that members serious reservations to the Eastern Bypass would be recorded.

Motion 5006 Cllr Paul Hand

Material Alteration Reference Number 3.9

That the proposed removal of CC011 on page 12 of the CEO's report be reinstated into the City Development Plan.

Reason:

To promote energy efficiency, sustainable homes and support the democratic decision of the council in the previous round of the development plan.

The motion was AGREED AS AMENDED (see 5004)

Motion 5007 Cllr Dermot Lacey

Material Alteration Reference Number 4.1

This Council agrees to insert the words "Community to be involved in the development process, including by means of cooperatives and co-housing initiatives" in Section 4.4.

Reason:

While the Chief Executive states that this is adequately catered for it is useful to make it explicitly clear in the plan and to assure the people who did make submissions that there is a value placed on their contribution.

The motion was AGREED AS AMENDED: The creation and nurturing of sustainable neighbourhoods, which are designed to facilitate walking and cycling, close to public transport insofar as possible, and a range of community infrastructure, in quality, more intensive mixed-use environments. (Please refer also to Dublin City Council Policy QH4 which supports proposals from approved housing bodies and voluntary housing bodies).

Motion 5008 Cllr David Costello

Material Alteration Reference Number 4.11

To remove SC08 as an objective of the development plan.

Reason: Any process looking to redevelop College Green would require a vote by Councillors which should not be prejudiced by the City Development plan P 16 of CE's Report.

The motion was NOT AGREED. CE Recommendation was AGREED as follows:

Objective SCO8 should be amended, for clarity, to read in the final plan as follows:

'To prioritise the redevelopment of College Green as a pedestrian_friendly civic space, including the pedestrianisation of Foster Place.'

Motion 5009 Cllr. Dermot Lacey

Material Alteration Reference Number 4.11

This Council agrees to retain the words "and to include the provision of wider footpaths" in Objective SCO8.

Reason:

It makes clear the objective of same in the redevelopment of the College Green/Foster Place area.

The motion was AGREED AS AMENDED: Objective SCO8 shall read in the final plan as follows: 'To prioritise the redevelopment of College Green as a pedestrian friendly civic space, including the pedestrianisation of Foster Place.'

Motion 5010 Cllr Nial Ring

Material Alteration Reference Number 4.7

To amend the amended section 4.5.1.2 to replace the term "East Link Toll Bridge" with the "Tom Clarke Bridge". Reason: To reflect the new name of the bridge.

The motion was AGREED

Motion 5011 Lord Mayor Brendan Carr

Material Alteration Reference Number 4.7

That this council rejects the CEO's report and proposes the following amendment

"A Docklands heritage trail be established to promote the history of the area.

Reason:

The Wild Atlantic Way and the Ancient East have been successfully launched to promote the attractions of the West and Midlands of Ireland. In January 2016 Fáilte Ireland had its funding doubled from €150 million annually to €300 million to promote tourism projects.

At present the new phase of development is taking place in the Docklands in the Strategic Development Zone for the North Lotts and Grand Canal Dock. An audit has been carried out by DCC for the Department of the Environment on the artefacts in the Docklands area relating to the industrial activities on the Docklands in the past.

Likewise, Dublin Port has a treasure trove of port related materials. There is a strong Docklands Heritage Preservations Group doing promotion work. Moreover, there is the potential of the sea, river and canal. The Docklands has so much tourist potential to offer if structured into a heritage trail.

This amendment in the Development Plan affirms the intention of the Dublin City Council to promote this heritage and reinforces its position when seeking funding from statutory agencies.

The motion was AGREED AS AMENDED, Add text to 11.1.5.16 City Heritage Plan as follows: "The new Dublin City Heritage Plan will be published in 2017 and will be based on the consultative process undertaken in 2012. The new heritage plan will set in place a framework for collaboration within the City Council and with external partners to identify and carry forward research priorities from the first plan and to create a mechanism for identifying and delivering new research themes, projects and communicating with diverse audiences. One such project will be a Docklands Heritage Trail to promote the heritage of the area"

Motion 5012 Cllr Nial Ring

Material Alteration Reference Number 5.4

To acknowledge the rationale behind Motion 2051 which was passed by the City Council, but ruled out of order by the Chief Executive, by adding to Policy QH3 an acknowledgement that the Provisions of the Urban Regeneration and Housing Act 2015 removes the option of providing cash payment in lieu of social housing and further provides for the transfer of completed units on other land if a Part V agreement so provides. Furthermore this policy should include a statement that any such transfer must be completed either before or at the same time as the units would have been completed under a regular Part V agreement. (Material Alteration Reference Number 5.4 - Policy QH3)

Reason: To ensure that any off site deals fulfil the provision of social units.

The motion was AGREED AS AMENDED:

QH3:

(1) To secure the implementation of the Dublin City Council Housing Strategy in accordance with the provisions of national legislation. In this regard, 10% of the land zoned for residential uses, or for a mixture of residential and other uses, shall be reserved for the provision of social and/or affordable housing in order to promote tenure diversity and a socially inclusive city.

(2) To engage in active land management including the implementation of the vacant levy on all vacant residential and regeneration lands as set out in the Urban Regeneration and Housing Act 2015.

Motion 5013 Cllr David Costello

Material Alteration Reference Number 5.4

Retain text in Amended Draft and add:

"In addition the City Development Plan will through its active land management approach seek to implement the National Action Plan for Housing and Homelessness (2016) while taking into consideration the Pobal Deprivation index to ensure appropriate provision of social and community services."

(Additional text in italics)

Reason to ensure areas of high deprivation have the appropriate infrastructure included as part of the planning process.

The motion was NOT AGREED

Motion 5014 Green Party Group

Material Alteration Reference Number 5.9

Change: "The demolition of existing housing is generally discouraged on sustainability grounds and it may lead to a loss of residential accommodation and streetscape character."

To: "The demolition of existing housing is generally discouraged on sustainability grounds. It may lead to a loss of residential accommodation, streetscape character and increase in carbon use. A calculation of embodied energy for the existing and proposed new building may be used to inform a decision on this issue."

Reason: to reach carbon reduction targets

The motion was NOT AGREED

Motion 5015 Lord Mayor Brendan Carr

Material Alteration Reference Number 6.6 and 6.7

Motion: That this Council amends the Development Plan as follows: "All businesses should be strongly encouraged to embrace living wage employment for their employees".

Reason:

While I understand the CEO's contention that "a living wage" is not deliverable through the Development Plan, I do not agree that such an objective is outside the scope of the Development Plan. The wish of the Councillors is that a living wage should be universal which is why the proposed Dublin City Development Plan includes a commitment for "living wage employment for Dublin City Council developments". Considering further that the Lord Mayor has made it one of his main objectives during his term of office to encourage the private sector to engage in living wage employment it appears to state the City Development Plan should not be able to reflect the Lord Mayor's policies for the City.

The motion was AGREED AS AMENDED:

Amend CEE17 "to promote social labour clauses and living wage employment for Dublin City Council developments" So that it reads:

" to promote social labour clauses and living wage employment for Dublin City Council developments and encourage living wage employment generally in the city"

Motion 5016 Cllr Cieran Perry

Material Alteration Reference Numbers 6.6 and 6.7

Amend CEE17 to include an additional line "Dublin City Council will publicly promote the Living Wage Policy as a contributor to the economic growth of the City".

The motion was AGREED AS AMENDED:

Amend CEE17 "to promote social labour clauses and living wage employment for Dublin City Council developments"

So that it reads

" to promote social labour clauses and living wage employment for Dublin City Council developments and encourage living wage employment generally in the city"

Motion 5017 Cllr Paul Hand

Material Alteration Reference Number 6.6 and 6.7

That policy CEE17 as worded in green on page 28 of the CEO's report on submissions remain in the City Development Plan.

Reason: To promote sustainable employment in the City.

The motion was AGREED AS AMENDED:

Amend CEE17 "to promote social labour clauses and living wage employment for Dublin City Council developments" So that it reads
" to promote social labour clauses and living wage employment for Dublin City Council developments and encourage living wage employment generally in the city"

Motion 5018 Green Party Group

Material Alteration Reference Number 7.1

Change: 4m To 5m

Reason: to provide quality ground floor uses.

Motion was AGREED AS AMENDED:

Also ground floors should have a minimum commercial floor to ceiling height (4-5m) for design, use and adaptability reasons.

Motion 5019 Green Party Group

Material Alteration Reference Number 7.1

To reject the manager's recommendation and maintain the text in the amended draft as follows:

"RD8A: To safeguard the health of young people that no further fast food outlets shall be permitted within 250m radius of primary and secondary school.(not to apply to delis and convenience stores)"

Reason:

In the interests of proper planning and to support health and wellbeing.

The motion was NOT AGREED. The report and recommendation of the Chief

Executive was VOTED AND AGREED:

For the reasons set out above and in the CE Report on Submissions Received on proposed amendments (August 2016) page 31. To retain text in Amended draft, and added text from CE Report on Submissions to read in full:

RD8A RD9: To safeguard the health of young people that no further fast food outlets shall be permitted within 250m radius of primary and secondary schools (not to apply to delicatessens and convenience stores), unless an evidence based case is made by the applicant that the proposed development would be in the interests of the proper planning and development of the area.

Motion 5020 Cllr Cieran Perry

Material Alteration Reference Numbers 7.1

RD8A – retain the original text and remove the Chief Executive's suggested additional text. "unless an evidence based case is made by the applicant that the proposed development would be in the interests of the proper planning and development of the area"

Reason:

Given that it is recognised that Irish youth are facing a problem of increasing obesity this policy must be strong enough not to be circumvented. The Chief Executive's proposed additional text would weaken the policy.

The motion was NOT AGREED. The report and recommendation of the Chief

Executive was VOTED AND AGREED as follows: For the reasons set out above and in the CE Report on Submissions Received on proposed amendments (August 2016) page 31. To retain text in Amended draft, and added text from CE Report on Submissions to read in full:

RD8A RD9: To safeguard the health of young people that no further fast food outlets shall be permitted within 250m radius of primary and secondary schools (not to apply to delicatessens and convenience stores), unless an evidence based case is made by the

applicant that the proposed development would be in the interests of the proper planning and development of the area.

Motion 5021 Cllr Dermot Lacey

Material Alteration Reference Number 8.1

Dublin City Council does not support the proposed Eastern By Pass as an over surface road across Dublin Bay and therefore agrees to amend 8.1 by including the words: "Any such road shall only be considered as tunnelled roadway as outlined in previous City Council development Plans and referred to as the Southern port Access Route". Reason:

There is a need to establish the clear view of the City Council in terms of the type of roadway that might be approved and to avoid any confusion caused by the sometimes interchangeable references to "Eastern By Pass" and "Southern Port Access Route". Given that provision for a route is being provided for I submit this would not make this motion and adopting it inconsistent with national policy.

(See Motions 5023, 5057, 5064, 5065 & 5066 also)

Motion AGREED AS AMENDED:

~~MTO27A~~ MTO32: To protect the routes of the proposed Eastern Bypass from Dublin Port existing Tunnel to Poolbeg, and in the longer term to provide a route corridor between Poolbeg and the Southern Cross/South East Motorway (in accordance with the NTS Study for the Greater Dublin Area 2016-2035), also referred to as the Southern Port Access Route.

The preferred route for DCC is by means of a bored tunnel, under Sandymount Strand and Merrion Strand and will be subject to full statutory Environmental Assessment, together with an Appropriate Assessment for the entire proposed routes, in accordance with the Habitats Directive, together with a full consultation process.

Motion 5022 Cllr Andrew Montague

Material Alteration Reference Number 8.3

To retain the targets for walking and cycling from the Draft Development Plan: "increasing the percentage share of walking from 10% to 15% and to raise cycling from 5% to 10% based on Canal Cordon Counts: Reason: to increase sustainable and active transport within the city, which is consistent with the aim of the Transport Strategy for the Greater Dublin Area. Having specific targets for walking and cycling in the Development Plan helps to focus attention and the work that needs to be done.

Motion was AGREED

Motion 5023 Cllr Paul Hand

Material Alteration Reference Number 8.26

That any reference to the Eastern by-pass be removed from the City Development Plan.

Reason: to promote sustainable travel, to retain land that could be used for housing, to protect the environment of Dublin Bay, a UNESCO biosphere.

Motion was NOT AGREED

Motion 5024 Green Party Group

Material Alteration Reference Number

"The vision for cycling is to make Dublin a city where people of all ages and abilities have the confidence, incentive and facilities to cycle so that by 2022, 25- 30% of all new commutes within the city will be by bike"

Reason: Given that we reference cars it is worth reinstating a similar wording on cycling that was contained within the 2011-2017 Plan

Motion was AGREED

Motion 5025 Cllr Nial Ring

Material Alteration Reference Number 8.6, 8.7, 8.8

To remove all references to the Dublin City Centre Transport Study from the Development Plan. (Material Alteration Reference Numbers 8.6/7/8)

Reason: As stated in my reason for Motion 2079 (passed by 21 votes to 2 by the City Council) this is a consultation document only and has not got a similar status to the other documents referred to at MT1. Its inclusion pre-empts the final decision on whether, or not, the recommendations of the study are adopted by the City Council and its inclusion would be an incorrect indication of its recommendations being approved DCC policy/strategy.

In addition, notwithstanding the fact that Motion 2079 was passed by members, a decision was taken to include a reference to the document under the same section - not in the list of documents but inserted in a new paragraph six lines later! Having had this pointed out to them, officials have now removed the reference from there but are now proposing to include it in another section (8.4 rather than 8.5) but also including a pseudo reference to it in Section 8.5.1. This determination to go against the vote on Motion 2079 must be challenged and the easiest way is to have a clear and unequivocal motion as above.

The motion was AGREED AS AMENDED as follows:

The Development Plan supports the need for a City Centre Transport Study which sets down a framework for how the City's transport network can be redefined to cater for increased usage, by better utilising infrastructure available, and moving towards a more sustainable and efficient use of the public realm in the city centre, but will have full regard to the protection and development of employment, the economy and cultural vibrancy within the city centre. The study will aim to move towards a more sustainable and efficient use of the public realm in the city centre and will integrate the policies of the National Transport Authority and Dublin City Council in an agreed framework. Any city council approved project identified in the study will be the subject to full Environmental Impact Assessment in order to clearly ensure that the anticipated effects on the environment are measured.

Motion 5026 Cllr Dermot Lacey

Material Alteration Reference Number 8.9

This Council agrees to retain the words "is guided by" in 8.5.1 relating to the National Transport authority Draft transport Plan and rejects the words "must be consistent with"

Reason: It is important that this Council asserts its democratic mandate to direct transport policy in the Dublin City area and while respectful of the role of the National Transport Authority will not be instructed by it.

Motion was NOT AGREED

Motion 5027 Green Party Group

Material Alteration Reference Number 8.12

Change: "MTO6A: To promote and seek the development of a new commuter rail station at Cross Guns serving the existing rail line infrastructure. Such a provision may be a stand-alone facility or form part of a larger mixed use development".

To:

"MTO6A: To promote and seek the development of new commuter rail station at Cross Guns and at the New Cabra Road serving the existing rail line infrastructure. Such a provision may be a stand-alone facility or form part of a larger mixed use development".

Reason: In the interests of improving public transport

Motion was NOT AGREED

Motion 5028 Cllr. Dermot Lacey

Material Alteration Reference Number 8.4

This Council deletes the reference to approval for the Dublin City Centre Transport Study: Reference number; 8.4, 8.5 and 8.51 and 8.8, 8.6 (page 36, 37 and 38 of the Report from the Chief Executive.

Reason:

The Study has not yet been completed or approved and it would be highly irresponsible to give such approval without seeing the contents.

Motion was AGREED AS AMENDED (see 5025)

Motion 5029 Green Party Group

Material Alteration Reference Number 8.15

Reinstate Policy MT10 (Page 61)

MT10: To provide 30kph speed limits and traffic calmed areas in each of the neighbourhoods shown in map "A City Neighbourhoods" in Chapter 12.

Reason: to improve road safety

Motion was AGREED

Motion 5030 Green Party Group

Material Alteration Reference Number 8.23

Change: "To minimise loss of on-street car parking, particularly in areas of high demand, whilst balancing the needs of new development and infrastructure such as enhancement of public transport infrastructure, public realm and cycle lanes."

To

"To balance any loss of on-street car parking, particularly in areas of high demand, with an improvement in public transport infrastructure, public realm and cycle lanes."

Reason: In the interests of sustainable development

Motion was NOT AGREED

Motion 5031 Cllr. Paul Hand

Material Alteration Reference Number 8.13

That the original draft Dublinbikes Strategic Planning Framework 2011-2016 be retained and renewed through the new City Development Plan. This document outlines the expansion of the scheme and should be retained as a guiding document in expanding and implementing the scheme. Reason: To promote cycling and sustainable transport.

Motion was AGREED

Motion 5032 Green Party Group

Material Alteration Reference Number 8.26

Delete new objective (page 26) MTO27A: To protect the route of the proposed Eastern Bypass in accordance with Transport Infrastructure Irelands 'Corridor protection study – Sector A – Dublin Port Tunnel to Sandymount Strand & for the longer term to retain a route corridor between Poolbeg and the Southern Cross/Southeastern Motorway via an eastern bypass of the city, in accordance with the National Transport Authority's Transport Strategy for the Greater Dublin Area 2016-2035'. Reason: To reduce carbon emissions, avoid sterilising land and to ensure proper and sustainable planning

Motion was AGREED AS AMENDED:

MTO27A MTO32: To protect the routes of the proposed Eastern Bypass from Dublin Port existing Tunnel to Poolbeg, and in the longer term to provide a route corridor between Poolbeg and the Southern Cross/South East Motorway (in accordance with the NTS Study for the Greater Dublin Area 2016-2035), also referred to as the Southern Port Access Route.

The preferred route for DCC is by means of a bored tunnel, under Sandymount Strand and Merrion Strand and will be subject to full statutory Environmental Assessment, together with an Appropriate Assessment for the entire proposed routes, in accordance with the Habitats Directive, together with a full consultation process. '

Motion 5033 Green Party Group

Material Alteration Reference Number 9.12

After “ or provide new infrastructure in order to extend or strengthen energy supply to meet demand.” Add “And meet climate reduction targets” Reason: To reduce carbon emissions

Motion was NOT AGREED

Motion 5034 Cllr. Dermot Lacey

Material Alteration Reference Number 9.4

This Council supports the proposed amendment from the Chief Executive to 9.5.3 strengthening the section on flood protection measures.

Reason: for all the reasons set out in the report from the Chief Executive on pages: 53,54 and 55.

Motion was AGREED

Motion 5035 Green Party Group

Material Alteration Reference Number 9.4

Change “200” to “2000” Reason: To use correct year.

Motion was AGREED

Motion 5036 Cllr. Dermot Lacey

Material Alteration Reference Number 10.7

This Council supports the proposed amendment from the Chief Executive to 10.7 in relation to the Environmental Management Plan for the Dodder.

Reason: For the reasons outlined on page 61 and 62 of the Chief Executives report.

Motion was AGREED

Motion 5037 Green Party Group

Material Alteration Reference Number 11.2

Change: “The rationale for this area selection These are areas is that they are areas sited within the historic core” To “The rationale for this area selection is that they are areas sited within the historic core”. Reason: to use correct English.

Motion was AGREED

Motion 5038 Lord Mayor Brendan Carr

Material Alteration Reference Number 11.9

That this City Council supports the CEOs amendment on industrial heritage and further amends by addition: “The unique Docklands / marine heritage will be surveyed and promoted as part of the SDZ developments on the North and South Docks. Reason:

This amendment ties in with the proposal for a Docklands Heritage Trail. IF the work isn't carried out now during the DSZ development it will be too late afterwards.

The manager refers to undertaking a survey of the industrial heritage of Guinness Brewery.

The Docklands has an equally rich heritage and should be included.

Motion was AGREED AS AMENDED:

Add to end of paragraph 2 (p.100):

A review of the DCIHR will be undertaken for the Kilmainham and Inchicore areas, together with the unique maritime heritage of the North and South Docklands, and the full DCIHR will be published online as soon as resources permit and within the period of this Development Plan. Dublin City Council will promote best practice of surveying of industrial heritage sites and will engage with local communities in this regard.

Motion 5039 Cllr Paddy McCarten / Cllr Mary Freehill

Material Alteration Reference Number 11.8

"This Council acknowledges the Chief Executive's concerns with regard to the following wording in the Amended Draft Plan: "To ensure that no advertising material other than brass or stone name-plate type signs or other suitable quality material will be permitted in

conservation areas. On commercial properties leading into such areas, advertising will be severely restricted, and shall only relate to the service provided on the premises." This Council agrees to amend the wording to insert the word: "residential" before "conservation" so that it reads: "To ensure that no advertising material other than brass or stone name-plate type signs or other suitable quality material will be permitted in residential conservation areas. On commercial properties leading into such areas, advertising will be severely restricted, and shall only relate to the service provided on the premises."

Reason: To ensure that Z2 residential conservation areas are not negatively impact by visually obtrusive advertising in accordance with the zoning objective to protect and/or improve the amenities of residential conservation areas.

Motion was AGREED AS AMENDED as follows:

To ensure that no advertising material other than brass, stone, stainless steel signs or other suitable quality material will be permitted in residential conservation areas. On commercial properties leading into such areas, advertising will be severely restricted, and shall only relate to the service provided in the premises.

Motion 5040 Green Party Group

Material Alteration Reference Number 11.2

Change: "• Stoneybatter/Oxmanstown;" To "• Stoneybatter/Oxmanstown/Arbour Hill"

Reason: to ensure Collins Barracks, the Orthodox Church and St. Bricin's are included.

Motion was AGREED

Motion 5041 Green Party Group

Material Alteration Reference Number 11.23

To maintain CHC023D in the plan.

CHC023D: All large scale, mixed-use development (as defined by this Development Plan) of office or residential space will include cultural/artistic uses.

Reason: in the interests of proper planning and to ensure it stays in given the managers contradictory recommendations in his report to councillors.

Motion was VOTED and AGREED AS AMENDED: (see 5042 & 5043 also)

~~CHC023D~~ CHC31 All large scale, mixed-use development (as defined by this Development Plan) of office or residential space will include cultural/artistic/community uses

Motion 5042 Green Party Group

Material Alteration Reference Number 11.23

To reject the CEO's recommendation and to retain the CHC023D

Reason: There currently is a deficit of affordable work space for the artistic and creative community of the City. CHC023D will support and enhance the artistic and cultural assets and infrastructure of the city, which plays a key role in the sustainable development of the city and improves the quality of life of both citizens and visitors alike. It will also demonstrate that Dublin City Council is committed supporting the creative culture of Dublin by ensuring there is a supply of workspaces for artists in the city. Reason: to provide for artistic creative space

Motion was VOTED and AGREED AS AMENDED: (see 5041 & 5043 also)

~~CHC023D~~ CHC31 All large scale, mixed-use development (as defined by this Development Plan) of office or residential space will include cultural/artistic/community uses

Motion 5043 Cllr John Lyons

Material Alteration Reference Number 11.23

To retain CHC023D which stipulates that "All large scale, mixed-used development (as defined by this Development Place) of office or residential space will include cultural/artistic uses" and expand it so as to include community uses alongside cultural/artistic uses.

Reason: To ensure that artistic, cultural and community groups have access to spaces in which to work, create, meet within the city of Dublin, thus encouraging real mixed-use developments and increasing our artistic and cultural capital.

Motion was VOTED and AGREED AS AMENDED: (see 5041 & 5042 also)

CHC023D-CHC31 All large scale, mixed-use development (as defined by this Development Plan) of office or residential space will include cultural/artistic/community uses

Motion 5044 Cllr. Andrew Montague

Material Alteration Reference Number 14.1

That the Development Plan retains the "up to 10% office space" in the open for consideration uses in the Z1 zoning section. Reason: Mixed use leads to proper planning and sustainable development

Motion was NOT AGREED. Refer to Planning SPC

Motion 5045 Cllr. Paul Hand

Material Alteration Reference Number 14.1

In relation to the submissions in regards to 14.1 on page 83 the CEO's report, office considerations on Z1 land should not be considered.

Reason: To promote sustainable neighbourhoods and increase housing provision

Motion was AGREED

Motion 5046 Green Party Group

Material Alteration Reference Number 14.1

To delete Embassy office from the list of permitted uses in Z1.

Reason: to promote cohesive neighbourhoods and proper planning

Motion was AGREED

Motion 5047 Cllr. Dermot Lacey

Material Alteration Reference Number 14.1

This Council agrees to reject the Chief Executives proposed inclusion of "Embassy office" in the "open for consideration" use in the Z1 zoning.

Reason:

The planning reasons for this remain the same as when the City Council deleted its inclusion at Draft stage and is in the interests of protecting and enhancing residential areas

Motion was AGREED

Motion 5048 Cllr. Dermot Lacey

Material Alteration Reference Number 14.1

This Council agrees to delete "Embassy office" in the "open for consideration" use in the Z2 zoning. Reason: The planning reasons for this remain the same as when the City Council deleted its inclusion at Draft stage and is in the interests of protecting and enhancing residential areas.

Motion was NOT AGREED. Embassy Office is not open for consideration in the Z2 Zoning.

Motion 5049 Cllr. Mary Freehill, Cllr. Paddy McCarten

Material Alteration Reference Number 14.1

This Council supports the Chief Executive's recommendation that the text of the Draft be amended to omit "Embassy Office" and "Up to 10% office space" as 'Open for Consideration' uses on Z1 lands. Reason: For those reasons stated by the Chief Executive as well as the zoning objective of Z1 areas which seeks to "To protect, provide and improve residential amenities". Office use would be at variance with this objective.

Motion was AGREED

Motion 5050 Cllr. Mary Freehill Cllr. Paddy McCarten

Material Alteration Reference Number 14.2

This Council supports the Chief Executive's recommendation that the text of the Amended Draft be retained and that the wording "*and to protect the residential character of the area*" remain. Reason: For the reason stated by the Chief Executive that to revert to the wording of the Draft Plan would be a regressive step.

Motion was AGREED

Motion 5051 Cllr. Nial Ring

Material Alteration Reference Number 14.6

To further amend Section 14.8.7 (Material Alteration Reference Number 14.6) to reflect the entire wording of Motion 2230 agreed at the City Council meetings in May. (To delete the line "They can unavoidably cause "bad neighbour" problems due to the generation of disamenities such as noise, smells, heavy goods traffic etc."). Reason: With Motion 2230 members agreed to delete the above wording from Section 14.8.7 to nullify the negative connotations contained therein. The Amended Draft retained part of the wording (in error?) and this motion is tabled to correct this error.

Motion was AGREED AS AMENDED

The primary uses in these areas are those that can result in a standard of amenity that would not be acceptable in other areas. They can sometimes lead to disamenities which would need to be managed through the planning process to safeguard residential amenity when necessary.

Motion 5052 Cllr. Paul Hand

Material Alteration Reference Number 14.8

In regards to 14.8.8 that retail should not be permitted on land zoned z8.

Reason: to protect the heritage of our city

Motion was NOT AGREED, refer to Planning SPC

Motion 5053 Cllr. Pat Dunne Cllr. Paul Hand Cllr. Tina MacVeigh

Material Alteration Reference Number 14.9

That the sale of lands zoned Z9 or Z15 for the purposes of residential development, shall only be permitted in areas where the Fields in Trust benchmark for sport/recreational/green space has been surpassed. Planning Reason: In the interests of prudent planning, proper provision of public open spaces, promoting sustainable communities and to ensure compliance with Council planning policy and guidelines.

Motion is NOT AGREED

Motion 5054 Cllr. Paul McAuliffe

Dublin City Council as part of the city development plan process, fully endorses the decision which it took in June 2016 at the special meeting to consider the development plan when it agreed to rezone a site on Jamestown Road (previously Poppintree Park and the old red barn beside the Willows FC club) from "residential" to "Amenity/open green space".

The land is due to revert to the ownership of Dublin City Council following the expiration of a building licence granted to Gama Construction and its bank Anglo Irish Bank.

<http://www.dublincity.public-i.tv/.../226786/0/0/sta.../26486000>

Motion was NOT AGREED. Refer to the Planning Department.

Motion 5055 Cllr. Mary Freehill Cllr. Paddy McCarten

Material Alteration Reference Number 14.8

"This Council agrees the omission of "Retail" use from the list of permitted uses in Z8"

Reason: There is no justification for making a new provision to accommodate retail in Dublin's premier Georgian area zoned Z 8. The proposal would undermine the longstanding policy of restricting retail in these areas. The effect of retail change of use

would be problematic in physical and design impact on the special character of the Z8 area and be at variance with the zoning objective "to protect the existing architectural and civic design character.....". It would generate demand for a range of problematic and undesirable alterations on which planning and enforcement control would be difficult including interference to railings, signage, lighting and door and window alteration as well as impact on interior character of buildings. There is no strategic justification for accommodating or promoting retail in the Z8 zoned areas. Instead, the focus should be on promoting quality retail in city centre streets like D'Olier St and Westmoreland St where former retail activity has declined, and focus revival of retail on those streets marginal to the current retail core including Eden Quay, Lower Marlborough St and Thomas St where there is a particular problem with the number of vacant buildings with shuttered up shop fronts.

Motion was NOT AGREED

Motion 5056 Cllr. David Costello

Map Ref H, Reference Number 5 - Rathmines DIT

That the rezoning of the DIT building Rathmines College be considered by the council. And that consideration be given to reverting to Z4. Reason: Fear that rezoning could lead to devaluation in an Asset of DIT. Please provide information in relation to any potential devaluation as a result of this action before council make a final decision.

Motion was DEFEATED (vote number 4)

Motion 5057 Cllr. Dermot Lacey

Material Alteration Reference Number 15.4

This Council agrees to delete the proposed inclusion of the words "the Eastern Bypass and Southern Port Access Route" from the Chief Executives proposed amendment under Reference 15.4 pending clarification on what these descriptions/references/titles actually mean.

Motion was NOT AGREED

Motion 5058 Cllr. Paul Hand

Map Reference G, Reference Number 5 - Kimmage Road West

That the proposed land zoning on map G, reference 5 remain zoned Z9 (submission 4110). Reason: to protect residential amenity. Provide for recreation locally. To oppose unsustainable development near the River Poddle, which can exacerbate environmental concerns and flooding on that river.

Motion was NOT AGREED

Motion 5059 Cllr. Mary Freehill

MAP H REF No. 1 - Greenmount Industrial Estate Harold's Cross

To retain the Z6 zoning as per the material alteration motion passed by Development Plan meeting of City Council June 2016. This site currently provides a range of light industrial and enterprise uses and current zoning should not be amended until a spatial planning exercise is carried out for the Harold's Cross Area, in the form of a Local Area Plan. Such a spatial planning approach would help ascertain the most appropriate zoning and future use of the site. Reason: There are a number of reasons for retaining the Z6 zoning. Firstly, accessibility issues. This backland site is poorly serviced by two very narrow access roads Greenmount Ave and Greenmount Lane, the latter which leads on to Parnell Rd. The current level of traffic is a major imposition for people living on Greenmount Ave and Greenmount Lane. There is no detailed argument provided in the submissions to the City Council outlining the impact that a residential Z1 residential would have on existing traffic. It is implied that it would have the effect of reducing traffic, but this is not substantiated, indeed it is likely that residential use would result in increased traffic flow problems.

Secondly, the retention of the Z6 zoning would protect an existing mixed use enterprise and employment centre in the vibrant urban village of Harold's Cross. The current Z6 zoning provides for a mix of uses on this former historical industrial site, including, light industrial,

enterprise, office and indoor recreational uses. The zoning being retained is Z6 the objective of which is "To provide for the creation and protection of enterprise and facilitate opportunities for employment creation". This is not a 'heavy industrial' zoning rather it is one that facilitates a broad range of uses, including light industry, science and technology industries, cultural, and creative and artistic enterprises among others. Indeed incidental residential development, which is subsidiary to the predominant use, is open for consideration, thus allowing a broad mix of uses on this site. This is the appropriate zoning for a mixed use employment facility of this nature and in this location. This allows a vibrant mix of uses in close proximity to the core of Harold's Cross. This contributes to sustainable urban living as it facilitates people living, working and accessing a range of recreational facilities in a single area.

This is a significant site in terms of its scale and extent and it is essential that prior to any rezoning a proper Spatial planning examination of the area is carried out through a Local Area Plan, an objective for which is now included in the Draft Development Plan. This would allow for a democratic way of involving the residents of Harold's Cross and The Harold's Cross Village Community Council to ensure sustainable development and to address issues of mixed use development, traffic management and movement issues including safe and viable access and egress to this site.

Finally, this is an area that has experienced the direct consequence of flood damage in recent years and while the buildings in the Greenmount industrial estate themselves have not been the subject of flooding the access road to the site has flooded. A Statutory Local Area Plan would allow the issue of flood risk management and mitigation to be considered in a coherent and integrated manner throughout the area, including on this site.

Motion was put and CARRIED (vote number 5)

Motion 5060 Cllr. Cieran Perry

Material Alteration Reference Number 15.7

Retain the following text – "To include commitment to retaining social and affordable housing as the primary use of the O'Devaney Gardens site"

Reason: This policy doesn't exclude the provision of private housing it just confirms that public housing will be the primary use of the site. The loss of public housing on this site by adhering to a 30% policy is unacceptable given that the primary cause of the current housing crisis is the lack of available public housing.

Motion was AGREED AS AMENDED:

Include a commitment to provide both social and affordable housing on the site. Add to the end of the second bullet point (page 145 of Draft Plan):

The development of a high-quality residential quarter comprising quality new homes supported by a complementary range of mixed commercial, community and recreational facilities will be promoted for this site. The site will provide for a mix of tenure with social, affordable and private housing all provided on-site

Motion 5061 Cllr. Ray McAdam

Material Alteration Reference Number 15.7

That the new text included after 2nd bullet point in Section 15.1.1.14 (ref. 15.7) be deleted. So that "To include commitment to retaining social and affordable housing as the primary use of the O'Devaney Gardens site" not be contained in the Dublin City Development Plan 2016-2022. Reason: Given that the Development Plan provides for a statutory Local area Plan for the Stoneybatter including the O'Devaney Gardens site, that statutory process is sufficient in determining the future redevelopment use of sites like O'Devaney Gardens.

Motion was put and CARRIED (vote number 7)

Motion 5062 Cllr. Pat Dunne Cllr. Paul Hand Cllr. Tina MacVeigh Deputy Lord Mayor Rebecca Moynihan Cllr. Hazel De Nortúin

Map Ref E, Ref Number 28 - Bridgefoot Street, Dublin 8

That Z9 zoning for the site at Bridgefoot Street Dublin 8, map reference E28, be retained as per amended draft. Planning Reason: In the interests of prudent planning, proper provision of public open spaces, promoting sustainable communities and to ensure compliance with Council planning policy and guidelines.

Motion was put and CARRIED (vote number 6)

Motion 5063 Cllr. Pat Dunne Cllr. Tina MacVeigh Cllr. Ray McHugh Deputy Lord Mayor Rebecca Moynihan Cllr. Criona Ní Dhálaigh

Material Alteration Reference Number 15.8

That the agreed wording in the amended draft development plan be retained in relation to St Teresa's Gardens and its environs with the amended wording contained in the managers response rejected. Reason: to ensure the sustainability of the local community by providing adequate sporting infrastructure

Motion was AGREED

Motion 5064 Cllr. Dermot Lacey

Material Alteration Reference Number 15.4

"This Council agrees that the Chief Executive's recommendation to include the wording "the Eastern Bypass and Southern Port Access Route" be rejected and that all references to the Eastern Bypass be omitted from the Dublin City Development Plan 2016-2022, including from all maps." Reason: The elected City Council has repeatedly stated its opposition to an Eastern Bypass road, including adopting a motion to this effect during the debate in May. The inclusion of this wording in the new Development Plan would be inconsistent with this policy position and would inhibit the development of alternative transport possibilities.

The motion was AGREED AS AMENDED (see motions 5021, 5023, 5057, 5064, 5065 & 5066)

MT027A MTO32: To protect the routes of the proposed Eastern Bypass from Dublin Port existing Tunnel to Poolbeg, and in the longer term to provide a route corridor between Poolbeg and the Southern Cross/South East Motorway (in accordance with the NTS Study for the Greater Dublin Area 2016-2035), also referred to as the Southern Port Access Route.

The preferred route for DCC is by means of a bored tunnel, under Sandymount Strand and Merrion Strand and will be subject to full statutory Environmental Assessment, together with an Appropriate Assessment for the entire proposed routes, in accordance with the Habitats Directive, together with a full consultation process. "

Motion 5065 Green Party Group

Material Alteration Reference Number 15.4

To remove reference to the eastern bypass.

Reason: To reduce carbon emissions, avoid sterilising land and to ensure proper and sustainable planning

The motion was AGREED AS AMENDED (see motions 5021, 5023, 5057, 5064, 5065 & 5066)

MT027A MTO32: To protect the routes of the proposed Eastern Bypass from Dublin Port existing Tunnel to Poolbeg, and in the longer term to provide a route corridor between Poolbeg and the Southern Cross/South East Motorway (in accordance with the NTS Study for the Greater Dublin Area 2016-2035), also referred to as the Southern Port Access Route.

The preferred route for DCC is by means of a bored tunnel, under Sandymount Strand and Merrion Strand and will be subject to full statutory Environmental Assessment, together with an Appropriate Assessment for the entire proposed routes, in accordance with the Habitats Directive, together with a full consultation process. "

Motion 5066 Green Party Group

Material Alteration Reference Number 15.5

To remove the Eastern Bypass and to not include it on the map on page 140

Reason: To reduce carbon emissions, avoid sterilising land and to ensure proper and sustainable planning

The motion was AGREED AS AMENDED (see motions 5021, 5023, 5057, 5065, 5064 & 5066)

MTO27A MTO32: To protect the routes of the proposed Eastern Bypass from Dublin Port existing Tunnel to Poolbeg, and in the longer term to provide a route corridor between Poolbeg and the Southern Cross/South East Motorway (in accordance with the NTS Study for the Greater Dublin Area 2016-2035), also referred to as the Southern Port Access Route.

The preferred route for DCC is by means of a bored tunnel, under Sandymount Strand and Merrion Strand and will be subject to full statutory Environmental Assessment, together with an Appropriate Assessment for the entire proposed routes, in accordance with the Habitats Directive, together with a full consultation process.

Motion 5067 Cllr. Andrew Montague

Material Alteration Reference Number 15.9

Limit the height of SDRA 17, Oscar Traynor Lands to 6 storeys

Reason: This was agreed with residents in public consultation and will ensure more community buy-in to the plan

Motion was put and CARRIED (vote number 8)

Motion 5068 Cllr. Mary Freehill Cllr. Paddy McCarten

Material Alteration Reference Number 15.10

"This Council agrees to omit any reference to the designation of the Concert Hall Quarter as a Strategic Development and Regeneration Area"

Reason: There is no development potential in the Concert Hall area for buildings of up to 36m (equivalent of 12 residential storeys) because of its relation to the adjoining Georgian terraced area to the east and other Protected Structures. The office development in the area dating from the 1970s to the present has a coherent height and there is no opportunity or justification for redevelopment to higher scale. The rationale for designation of the area of the Earlsfort Terrace frontage of the National Concert Hall for public realm enhancement is not provided. The existing street front has very fine mid C.19th granite plinth walls and ironwork and gates, with one of the best sections of older granite footpath in the city. While there is potential for the NCH to provide an outdoor cafe area in place of current parking places inside the railings, this could be achieved within the provisions of the Development Plan.

Any alteration to the existing boundary treatment of the NCH which is part of its character as a Protected Structure would be undesirable

Motion was NOT AGREED

Motion 5069 Cllr. Cieran Perry

Material Alteration Reference Number 15.7

Retain the following text – "To guarantee a minimum of 15% of the O'Devaney Gardens site be given over to green spaces." Reason: Given the size of the site and the potential number of inhabitants a 15% commitment for green spaces is not unreasonable. The lack of social and green spaces in O'Devaney Gardens in the past greatly contributed to the social problems encountered.

Motion was NOT AGREED

Motion 5070 Green Party Group

Map H – H2: Site at Rathmines DIT

To reject the chief executive's recommendation in regards to Map H – H2: Site at Rathmines DIT

Retain as Z15 as per amended draft

Reason: to ensure continued provision of education facilities in Rathmines

Motion was put and CARRIED

Motion 5071 Cllr. Pat Dunne Deputy Lord Mayor Rebecca Moynihan Cllr. Críona Ní Dhálaigh Cllr. Tina MacVeigh

Material Alteration Reference Number 15.8

Regarding Manager Report on page 97 of the report re St Teresa's Gardens and its environs Amend text in Amended Draft after 2nd bullet point delete "all weather sports pitch as part of a municipal sports facility" And Retain "To provide for an area zoned sufficient in size to accommodate a minimum 80m by 130m playing pitch".

Motion FELL (see 5063)

Motion 5072 Green Party Group

Material Alteration Reference Number 15.7

Replace: "Add text to 2nd bullet point To include commitment to retaining social and affordable housing as the primary use of the O'Devaney Gardens site." With " Add text to 2nd bullet point To include commitment to retaining social and affordable housing as the predominant use of the O'Devaney Gardens site; to prioritise a cost-rental model, and to allow for some private housing on the site" Reason: To ensure a social mix

Motion FELL (see 5060)

Motion 5073 Cllr. Pat Dunne Deputy Lord Mayor Rebecca Moynihan Cllr. Críona Ní Dhálaigh Cllr. Tina MacVeigh

Material Alteration Reference Number 15.8

Amend text in Amended Draft after 3rd bullet point to read To acknowledge the existing sports lands of St Teresa's gardens and its environs and act to retain and augment these lands as sporting facilities for the benefit of the wider community and use by local sports clubs. ie delete the word 'replace and '

Motion was AGREED

Motion 5074 Cllr. Pat Dunne Deputy Lord Mayor Rebecca Moynihan Cllr. Tina MacVeigh

Material Alteration Reference Number 15.8

Amend the following paragraph to replace 20% with 30% That at least 20% (replace with 30%) of the St Teresa's Gardens site SDRA 12 be retained for public open space, recreation & sporting facilities including an area to facilitate organised games.

Motion FELL (see 5063)

Motion 5075 Cllr. Ray McAdam

Site Ref E, Reference 29 - Church Street - OPW Site

That the zoning status of the OPW site on Church Street agreed in May be retained. Therefore small portion of the site nearest the Luas stop be zoned Z5. Reason: The provision of a public park near the Smithfield Luas stop would be an important local recreational amenity in the Church Street district. This amendment seeks to retain the rezoning of the small portion of the site as agreed in May.

Motion was WITHDRAWN

Motion 5076 Cllr. Paul McAuliffe

Material Alteration Reference Number 15.9

With Regard to the Oscar Traynor SDRA That the height of the development shall be 7 storey's in the M1/R104 Junction corner stepping down to 2 storeys at the houses at Lorcan Estate. That there is 30% social housing That pedestrian lane ways such as those which have been extinguished elsewhere because of antisocial behaviour are not included in the plan

Motion was WITHDRAWN

Motion 5077 Green Party Group

Map Reference E, Reference Number 29 - Church Street OPW site (Hammond Lane)

Retain proposed zoning Reason: To provide amenity space for children and others

Motion was WITHDRAWN

Motion 5078 Cllr. Paul Hand

Material Alteration Reference Number 15.10

In relation to 15.1.1.21 the proposed National Concert Hall Quarter, the City Development Plan will give guidelines that any development in the area, on or near sites of historical and architectural importance, will only be granted permission if an architectural, archaeological and historical assessment is carried out. Reason: To preserve our cities Georgian architecture, history and heritage.

Motion was AGREED

Motion 5079 Green Party Group

Material Alteration Reference Number 15.10

Delete 15.1.1.21 SDRA 18 National Concert Hall Quarter and all accompanying text

Reason: to avoid over-development

Motion was NOT AGREED

Motion 5080 Cllr. Andrew Montague

Material Alteration Reference Number 16.1

Reference 16.1 to amend the Chief Executive's suggested text from "the re-use of existing buildings should be considered in appropriate cases" to "the re-use of existing buildings and or building materials should be considered in appropriate cases" Reason: to promote the re-use of building materials where possible to reduce carbon emissions and waste building materials going to landfill.

Motion was AGREED

Motion 5081 Green Party Group

Material Alteration Reference Number 16.1

To reject the manager's recommendation and to maintain the text in the amended draft. Reason - in the interests of sustainability and to help mitigate climate change.

Motion was AGREED AS AMENDED as follows:

To minimise the waste embodied energy in existing structures, the re-use of existing buildings should always be considered as a first option in preference to demolition and new-build. Buildings should be designed to minimise resource consumption, reducing waste, water and energy use. The re-use of existing buildings and/or building materials should be considered in appropriate cases. Design should optimise natural or heat recovery ventilation, minimise overshadowing and minimise glare and excessive solar gain, avoiding large areas of glazing and providing an appropriate balance between solid and void elements. Materials should be selected which are sustainably sourced and existing materials re-used and recycled wherever possible. Measures which will allow the occupants to adapt to the impacts of climate change include natural ventilation, summer shading, openable windows, the incorporation of living roofs and walls, planting and trees, as well as the inclusion of sustainable urban drainage systems and permeable surfaces in adjoining spaces.

Motion 5082 Lord Mayor Brendan Carr

Material Alteration Reference Number 16.5

That this Council rejects the CEO proposal for Inner City low-rise to be designated 28 metres and retains the limit at 24 metres as already agreed by the City Councillors

Reason: The Council has already debated and voted on this issue.

Motion FELL (see Composite Height Motion)

Motion 5083 Cllr. Mary Freehill Cllr. Dermot Lacey

Material Alteration Reference Number 16.5

"This Council agrees to retain the Low-rise Outer City Residential height indicated in the 'Building Height in Dublin:' Table in the Amended Draft Plan so that it reads:

Low-rise (relates to the prevailing local height and context) Outer City Residential Up to 13m."

Reason: According to the 2015 'Development Plan Briefing Note' distributed to Councillors, a height of 13m (4 storeys) can provide 120 units per hectare.

The 'Development Plan Briefing Note' also states: '*To meet Regional Planning Guideline requirements a density of over 84uph is needed.*' It goes on to state: '*However, in order not to constrain future development and to allow for further growth within the areas available it is advisable to seek a minimum average density of 100uph.*' 120uph is 20% more than what is considered adequate to provide for future development and further growth, and 42% greater than the RPG requirements.

Motion FELL (see Composite Height Motion)

Motion 5084 Cllr. Nial Ring

Material Alteration Reference Number 16.5

To reject the Chief Executives proposed Material Alteration Reference Number 16.5 and retain the Low-rise Inner City Residential height at Up to 24m and the Low-rise Outer City Residential at Up to 13m as voted on and agreed by the members. Reason:

To reflect the decision of City Councillors and to reject the scaremongering tactics of the Department of the Environment and others who are attempting to bully councillors into changing our decision with a propaganda campaign indicating that failure to comply with their wishes will increase homelessness, cause unemployment, add to the social housing waiting list and demonstrates a "lack of ambition and an unwillingness to deal with density issues" on the part of City Councillors.

Motion FELL (see Composite Height Motion)

Motion 5085 Cllr. Dermot Lacey

Material Alteration Reference Number 16.5

This Council notes, but rejects, the submission from the Minister for the Environment, Community and Local Government seeking greater height provision in the Dublin City Development Plan. Reason: The Draft Plan provides sufficient height possibilities while retaining the core planning principle and value of Dublin being a low rise City.

Motion FELL (see Composite Height Motion)

Motion 5086 Cllr. Mary Freehill Cllr. Dermot Lacey

Material Alteration Reference Number 16.5

This Council agrees to amend the Low-rise Inner City Residential height indicated in the 'Building Height in Dublin:' Table so that it reads:

Low-rise (relates to the prevailing local height and context) Inner City Residential Up to 20m Shoulder Height and rising to a Maximum total height of 24m where appropriate"

Reason: The special character and quality of the historic core should be protected and conserved while allowing for the required densities. The 20m Shoulder Height rising to a maximum total height of 24m where appropriate, can achieve this. The CE's Report quotes the DOE submission and states, in relation to the Core Strategy of the Draft Development Plan, that: "*The stated number of units deliverable is based on an average density of 125 no. units per hectare*". It then states: "*This density of development is highly unlikely to be achieved with the reduced residential building height policy proposed.*" This is not the case. In fact, the "*reduced residential building height*" of 24m could achieve significantly higher densities than those sought. A height of 24m (8 storeys) can provide 280 units per hectare

('Development Plan Briefing Note' distributed to Councillors 2015). This is more than double the number of units per hectare sought. Even a height of 12m (4 storeys) can provide 120 units per hectare (same source). It is clarified in the briefing note that the figures quoted are: "*generally compatible with the urban fabric of much of the city*" The 'Development Plan Briefing Note' also states: '*To meet Regional Planning Guideline requirements a density of over 84uph is needed.*' It goes on to state: '*However, in order not to constrain future development and to allow for further growth within the areas available it is advisable to seek a minimum average density of 100uph.*' The 125uph referenced by the DOE then, is 25% more than what is considered adequate to provide for future development and further growth, and 49% greater than the RPG requirements. 280uph (the density achievable at 24m) is more than three times the density required by the RPG.

Motion FELL (see Composite Height Motion)

Motion 5087 Cllr. John Lyons

Material Alteration Reference Number 16.5

To respect the decision taken by the elected representatives of Dublin City Council with regard to low-rise residential heights for the inner and outer city, namely the maximum height for Low-rise Inner City Residential - (Up to 24 metres) and maximum height Low-rise Outer City Residential - (up 13 metres). Reason: To maintain to low-rise character of Dublin city's built environment.

Motion FELL (see Composite Height Motion)

Motion 5088 Cllr. Cieran Perry

Material Alteration Reference Number 16.5

All Low-rise heights to remain the same as the previous Development Plan. The recommendation from the Chief Executive attempts to undermine the democratic decision of the council at the previous stage of the development plan. His recommendation attempts to increase residential heights by another storey in the Inner City and by a storey in the Outer City.

Low-rise Inner City Residential – 6 storeys (20m)/Commercial – 7 storeys (28m)

Low-rise Outer City Residential – 4 storeys (13m)/Commercial – 4 storeys (16m)

Reason: To ensure sustainable development in the city.

Motion FELL (see Composite Height Motion)

Motion 5089 Green Party Group

Material Alteration Reference Number 16.5

"This Council agrees to retain the Low-rise Outer City Residential height indicated in the 'Building Height in Dublin:' Table in the Amended Draft Plan so that it reads: Low-rise (relates to the prevailing local height and context) Outer City Residential Up to 13m Shoulder Height and rising to a Maximum total height of 16m where appropriate."

Reason: to increase potential for housing supply

Motion FELL (see Composite Height Motion)

Motion 5090 Cllr. Cieran Perry

Material Alteration Reference Number 16.5

Phibsboro remains a Low-rise area as per the current Draft Development Plan
Reason: To ensure sustainable development in the city. Phibsboro is a low-rise Victorian village of two and three storey red brick houses. Constructions of 50 metres in height would overshadow the streets and houses while destroying the integrity of the existing urban landscape and utterly transforming our residential areas.

Motion was NOT AGREED

Motion 5091 Cllr. Paul Hand

Material Alteration Reference Number 16.5

That the proposed building heights in the City Development Plan be as follows:

Inner City- Residential 6 stories (20m)- Commercial 7 stories (28m)

Outer City- Residential 4 stories (13m)- Commercial 4 stories (16m)

These heights are close to the last development plan (2011-2017) and represent sensible planning policy for our city. They will not apply to the 13 areas where high and mid rise buildings can be constructed. It is important that the development plan does not give carte blanche to property developers and speculators that have ruined our city and national economy. It is also important to challenge the fallacy that higher buildings means higher density as this is not always the case. Reason: To protect our history and heritage, visual and residential amenity.

Motion FELL (see Composite Height Motion)

Motion 5092 Cllr. Cieran Perry

Material Alteration Reference Number 16.12

Institutions/Hostels & Social Support Services

Increase the radius from 500m to 1Km

To ensure sustainable service provision and to prevent any over concentration of these services within communities the requirement of a map of all homeless and other social services be extended from 500m to a 1Km radius of the application. Reason: To prevent any over concentration of these services within communities which may undermine their sustainability.

Motion was NOT AGREED

Motion 5093 Cllr. David Costello

Material Alteration Reference Number 16.5

That this council looks to include the following as a compromise in relation to building heights "That Plant, Flues and lift over runs should not be included in the height of the building, as long as they are set-back and properly screened and do not significantly add to the shadowing or otherwise of natural light beyond that of the main structure." Reason: to maximise the building space available for housing. Therefore increasing densities.

Motion was WITHDRAWN

Motion 5094 Cllr. Paul Hand

That all persons, parties, lobby groups that have visited the Planning Department in relation to the City Development Plan be published upon the ratification of the document.

Reason: to promote transparency and open governance.

The motion is not a matter for the Development Plan. Refer to Planning SPC

Motion 5095 Cllr. David Costello

Material Alteration Reference Number 16.5

That this council examines and debates the CE's proposal to revert to the heights for residential Inner and Outer City set out in the Draft City development plan. (28m and 16m)

Reason: given the submission from the DOE in relation to building height and density it is possible that the Minister will direct this council to allow taller buildings. Given the scale of the housing crisis this should be debated one last time.

Motion NOT AGREED (see Composite Height Motion)

Motion 5096 Cllr. Paul Hand

Material Alteration Reference Number 16.9 (Refer to Material Alteration Ref 3.9)

Insert into 16.10.1 and 16.10.2 That new buildings apply the passive house standards or any equivalent evidence based standards in the construction of new build apartments and houses. Reason: To provide sustainable housing, protect the environment, lower carbon emissions, meet climate change protocols and implement new and innovative designs and

standards and to fulfill the majority mandate of the elected members in the previous round of the development plan.

Motion FELL (see 5004, 5005 & 5006)

Motion 5097 Cllr. Paul Hand

Material Alteration Reference Number 16.9

To remove the following sentence "within managed 'build- to- let' apartment schemes up to 42-50% of the total units may be in the form one bed or studio units" and replace with "within managed 'build- to- let' apartment schemes up to 30-35% of the total units may be in the form one bed or studio units" Section 16.10.1(page 106-108 of CEO's report) Reason: To provide a suitable residential mix in build-to-let developments, protect and promote residential amenity, promote sustainable city-living in the proposed electoral divisions where they apply.

Motion was NOT AGREED. Refer to Planning SPC

Motion 5098 Green Party Group

Material Alteration Reference Number 16.5

Amend the Low-rise Inner City Residential height indicated in the 'Building Height in Dublin:' To Low-rise (relates to the prevailing local height and context) Inner City Residential Up to 20m Shoulder Height and rising to a Maximum total height of 24m where appropriate" Reason: to respect the existing form, heritage and urban structure of the city.

Motion FELL (see Composite Height Motion)

Motion 5099 Cllr. Paul Hand

Map Reference E, Reference Number 25 - Davitt Road, Goldenbridge

hat the proposed land zoning on map E, reference 25 remain zoned z6 (submission 4079). Reason: To provide for local employment and local housing as housing is allowed under a z6 zoning.

Motion was NOT AGREED

Motion 5100 Cllr. Mary Freehill

Map Reference H ref. No. 5 - Rathmines DIT

That the DIT building at Rathmines Rd Lr. Dublin 6, to protect the continued public educational use of the purpose built Educational Building which was purpose built 100 years ago be zoned Z15 as was agreed at DCC Development Plan meeting July 2016.

Reason: Z15 is the correct zoning for an educational institution. Such is the need for educational space in Rathmines, there is two Educational Institutions next door currently competing for this building; the submissions below highlight the shortage of accommodation for educational purposes in the Rathmines and wider south side area. The Manager needs to set out what assessment of educational needs was carried out in the Area and, who did the report and how was it researched? A recent report in the Financial Times following the BREXIT vote set out a league table of European cities that would be attractive for London based businesses to relocate and within the EU. Dublin fared out quite well but it pointed out that the negatives for Dublin are poor integrated public transport network and shortage of educational facilities. This is a big concern for employees with families relocating to Dublin. Currently Rathmines has a lot of unoccupied commercial property but has a very severe shortage of Educational accommodation.

Four submissions were received following public consultation

CDETB, formally VEC who were the former owners of this building and established this purpose built public educational institution over 100 years ago. It started as a Trade's School and in 1932 became Rathmines College of Commerce. In 1991 it was designated to DIT as part of the property split between DIT and VEC

The submission from the CDETБ who currently occupy Rathmines Town Hall which is Rathmines College points out that they are very badly off for space and have to rent residential houses on Leinster Rd which they use as overflow class rooms.

1. Currently they have 700 day students and 1200 evening students. They also make the point that students who travel from all over the city to Rathmines contribute to the local economy. Rathmines is very accessible for people coming from many areas because of its very good public transport network.
2. The CDETБ have made it know on a number of occasions to the DIT concerning their interest and need for this building to remain in education. It's also been the subject of many motions over the years tabled to the DIT Governing Body

Submission from St Louis Primary School which is located next door to the DIT site, points out that they provide Primary education for the catchment of Dublin 2, 4, 6, 6W, 8 and 12.

This is an "Inclusive" school, (welcome children of all faith and none). This means that St Louis is an extremely high demand school. Because of increase in local child population all primary and secondary schools in 4, 6, & 6W and extremely high demand.

1. Currently there are 750 Infant and Senior Primary pupils this is an increase of 26% in past 12 years. The child population trend in the area for the foreseeable future is definitely UPWARDS. The Dept of Education and DCC must address this challenge.
2. The pupil population is made up of 40 nationalities and there is also a high demand to provide for pupils with varying forms of disabilities.
3. When St Louis opened in 1940 there was space to expand, all of those surrounding sites are now built up and DIT is the only opportunity for the school to provide a service to the community

Rathmines Initiative

Their submission reiterates points made above and emphasises the established continuing educational use stretching back over 100 years. They also point out *"If the DIT site were to remain zoned as Z4 it would leave open the possibility of large scale commercial development commercial development across both sites and extending back to St Louis Primary Schools to the rear. This scale of development would be detrimental to the urban quality of Rathmines. Z15 will therefore protect the current educational and institutional use of the buildings and protect the urban fabric of this area of Rathmines"*

DIT Submission

In this submission they make a case for being part of Prime Urban Centre. They also point out that by rezoning from Z4 to Z15 that it would devalue the site by 20%.

DIT is required to accrue the maximum value for all DIT buildings to offset Grangegorman construction costs. Surely the intention of that condition is to sell for their educational value not inflated Developmental values. The Dept of Education is the ultimate owner, it must not allow educational land to evaporate at a time when there is such demand for school buildings. Furthermore we have a duty as Councillors to provide urban balanced development to provide for future generations.

Motion was AGREED